

Conference Abstract

YI 1.1 Aortic Impedance and Total Arterial Compliance from Regional Pulse Wave Velocities

Vasiliki Bikia*, Georgios Rovas, Stamatia Pagoulatou, Nikolaos Stergiopoulos

École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne

ABSTRACT

Background: *In-vivo* assessment of aortic characteristic impedance (Z_{ao}) and total arterial compliance (C_T) has been hampered by the need for invasive methods to access simultaneous recordings of aortic pressure and flow, wall thickness, and cross-sectional area. In contrast, regional pulse wave velocity (PWV) measurements are noninvasive and clinically available. Given that PWV is strongly related to aortic stiffness (1), we assume that carotid-to-femoral PWV (cfPWV) and carotid-to-radial PWV (crPWV) may contain sufficient information to evaluate the elasticity of the ascending aorta. Concretely, here, we present a noninvasive regression method for estimating Z_{ao} and C_T using cuff pressure, cfPWV, and crPWV.

Methods: Gradient Boosting is employed for predicting Z_{ao} and C_T . The regressors are trained/tested using a pool of virtual subjects ($n = 4833$) generated from a previously validated *in-silico* model (2). The cross validation is performed using a 10-fold cross-validation (3). The population used has been previously generated (4) and reflects a wide range of hemodynamical properties and states.

Results: Predictions had a high accuracy (Figure) achieving a normalized-RMSE equal to $6.24 \pm 1.19\%$ ($r = 0.85$, $p < 0.001$) for Z_{ao} , and $4.38 \pm 0.36\%$ ($r = 0.97$, $p < 0.001$) for C_T , respectively. High errors were reported for high values of Z_{ao} due to the limited amount of similar data.

Conclusion: The proposed approach constitutes a step forward to noninvasive screening of elastic vascular properties in human by exploiting easily obtained measurements. This study could introduce a valuable tool for assessing aortic stiffness reducing the cost and the complexity of the required measuring techniques. Clinical evaluation is required to validate the method *in-vivo*.




Figure Comparison of the estimated values with the reference values. Scatterplot and Bland-Altman plot for the predicted Z_{ao} (left panel). Scatterplot and Bland-Altman plot for the predicted C_T (right panel). Solid line represents equality. Limits of agreement (LoA), within which 95% of errors are expected to lie, are defined by the two horizontal dashed lines.

© 2020 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Publishing services by Atlantis Press International B.V. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

*Corresponding author. Email: vasiliki.bikia@epfl.ch