



P152 Prediction of Death or Heart Failure-related Hospitalizations by Cardio-ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) and CAVI₀

Bart Spronck^{1,2}, Jonathan Lee^{3,4}, Garrett Oldland^{3,4}, Mary Jo Obeid³, Mahati Paravathaneni³, Naga Vaishnavi Gadela³, Armghan Ans³, Gurpreet Singh^{3,5}, Rushik Bhuvu³, Scott Akers⁴, Julio Chirinos⁶

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
²Department of Biomedical Engineering, CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
³Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
⁴Philadelphia VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA
⁵Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine, Stratford, NJ, USA
⁶University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: Arterial stiffness as measured by carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (PWV) has been shown to predict cardiovascular events [1]. However, PWV is blood pressure (BP) dependent [2,3] leading to the development of cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as a more blood pressure-independent index [4] that also shows predictive ability in Asian populations [5]. Recently, CAVI was further refined into CAVI₀ [6], removing residual acute blood pressure dependence [7]. The present study aims to assess risk prediction by CAVI and CAVI₀ in a US population.

Methods: We enrolled 156 subjects (94.8% male; 47.7% African-American) with and without heart failure. Subjects underwent arterial stiffness assessments (VaSera 1500 N, Fukuda Denshi Co., Tokyo, Japan). Left (L-CAVI) and right (R-CAVI) measurements were obtained from the device, CAVI₀'s were converted from CAVI's taking into account CAVI's scale coefficients [8,9]. We prospectively followed participants for a mean of 2.56 years for the composite endpoint of death or heart failure related hospital admission.

Results: L-CAVI and R-CAVI did not differ significantly (9.80 ± 2.11 vs 9.66 ± 1.92, *p* = 0.146); neither did L-CAVI₀ and R-CAVI₀ (16.51 ± 5.85 vs 16.15 ± 5.34, *p* = 0.178). In unadjusted Cox regression, R-CAVI, L-CAVI, and R-CAVI₀ but not L-CAVI₀ predicted outcome (Table 1). After adjustment for age, sex, race, and systolic BP, only right-sided CAVI's and CAVI₀'s were predictive.

Discussion: We observed possible body-side differences in prediction using CAVI and CAVI₀. A previous study cross-sectionally reported more pronounced body side differences in heart-to-ankle PWV related to cardiovascular disease [10]; we are unaware of published prospective studies observing this. In conclusion, both R-CAVI and R-CAVI₀ predicted heart-failure related end-points.

Table | Cox regression results

	<i>n</i>	Standardized HR [95% CI]	<i>p</i>
Unadjusted			
L-CAVI	155	1.33 [1.01–1.76]	0.042
R-CAVI	156	1.52 [1.10–2.11]	0.011
L-CAVI ₀	155	1.28 [0.97–1.68]	0.078
R-CAVI ₀	156	1.39 [1.04–1.87]	0.027
Adjusted for age, sex, race, and systolic BP			
L-CAVI	154	1.35 [0.99–1.83]	0.06
R-CAVI	155	1.55 [1.08–2.21]	0.016
L-CAVI ₀	154	1.30 [0.95–1.77]	0.10
R-CAVI ₀	155	1.39 [1.0–1.9]	0.044

s-HR, standardized hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

REFERENCES

- [1] Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality with arterial stiffness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:1318–27.
- [2] Bramwell JC, McDowall RJS, McSwiney BA. The variation of arterial elasticity with blood pressure in man (Part I). *Proc R Soc Lond B* 1923;94:450–4.
- [3] Spronck B, Heusinkveld MH, Vanmolkot FH, Roodt JO, Hermeling E, Delhaas T, et al. Pressure-dependence of arterial stiffness: potential clinical implications. *J Hypertens* 2015;33:330–8.
- [4] Shirai K, Utino J, Otsuka K, Takata M. A novel blood pressure-independent arterial wall stiffness parameter; cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI). *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2006;13:101–7.
- [5] Matsushita K, Ding N, Kim ED, Budoff M, Chirinos JA, Fernhall B, et al. Cardio-ankle vascular index and cardiovascular disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective and cross-sectional studies. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 2019;21:16–24.
- [6] Spronck B, Avolio AP, Tan I, Butlin M, Reesink KD, Delhaas T. Arterial stiffness index beta and cardio-ankle vascular index inherently depend on blood pressure but can be readily corrected. *J Hypertens* 2017;35:98–104.
- [7] Mestanik M, Spronck B, Jurko A, Mestanikova A, Jurko T, Grendar M, et al. Enhanced cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI0) is experimentally less blood pressure dependent than standard CAVI. *J Hypertens* 2019;37:e209.
- [8] Takahashi K, Yamamoto T, Tsuda S, Okabe F, Shimose T, Tsuji Y, et al. Coefficients in the CAVI equation and the comparison between CAVI with and without the coefficients using clinical data. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2019;26:465–75.
- [9] Spronck B, Mestanik M, Tonhajzerova I, Jurko A, Tan I, Butlin M, et al. Easy conversion of cardio-ankle vascular index into CAVI0: influence of scale coefficients. *J Hypertens* 2019;37:1913–14.
- [10] Yeragani VK, Kumar R, Bar KJ, Chokka P, Tancer M. Exaggerated differences in pulse wave velocity between left and right sides among patients with anxiety disorders and cardiovascular disease. *Psychosom Med* 2007;69:717–22.

© 2019 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Publishing services by Atlantis Press International B.V. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).