

Artery Research Vol. **25(S1**); 2019, pp. S142–S143 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2991/artres.k.191224.129; ISSN 1872-9312; eISSN 1876-4401 https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/artres



P103 Improved Metabolic Vasoreactivity in the Brain of HM3 Patients and its Underlying Microcirculatory Mechanisms

Eric J. Stöhr^{1,*}, Ruiping Ji², Koichi Akiyama², Francesco Castagna², Pinsino Alberto², John Cockcroft¹, Melana Yuzefpolskaya², Reshad Garan², Veli Topkara², Hiroo Takayama², Koji Takeda², Yoshifumi Naka², Paolo Colombo², Joshua Willey², Barry J. McDonnell¹

¹Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK ²Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York City, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: The MOMENTUM3 trial1 has revealed superiority of the novel HeartMate3 (HM3) left ventricular assist device (LVAD) compared with the HM2, with a significantly reduced occurrence of cerebrovascular accidents. Thus, cerebral autoregulation may be improved in HM3 compared with HM II patients, possibly because of altered microcirculatory haemodynamics associated with the in-built speed modulation ('pulsatility') of the HM3 device.

Methods: Angle-corrected Doppler ultrasound images of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) were recorded before and at the end of a 30s breathhold test in healthy controls (n = 17), heart failure (HF n = 18), HM2 (n = 10) and HM3 (n = 17) patients. Microcirculatory haemodynamics as represented by the central retinal artery (CRA) were also quantified (Controls = 33, HF = 27, HM2 = 23, HM3 = 31). Data were analysed for Time-Averaged Maximum flow velocity (TAMAX), peak flow velocity (V_{max}), minimum flow velocity (V_{min}), Pulsatility Index (PI) and Resistance Index (RI, Table 1).

Results: Breathhold significantly increased TAMAX, Vmax and Vmin in all groups except HM II patients (Figure 1A). Conversely, PI decreased slightly in all groups while RI was maintained. The greater breathhold response in HM3 compared with HM2 patients was not attributable to the in-built pump-speed modulation (Figure 1B), however, HM3 had a consistently lower RI in the MCA and CRA.

Conclusion: Although reduced compared with healthy controls, HF and HM3 patients have a significantly greater metabolic cerebral vasoreactivity compared with HM2 patients. The 60% greater diastolic flow velocity in the microcirculation of both LVAD groups compared to healthy controls may alter gas exchange in the microcirculation. Future studies should examine the role of altered RI in HM3 patients.

Table 1Haemodynamics in the middle cererbral artery (MCA) and the central retinal artery (CRA) between HeartMate2 andHeartMate3 patients

	Healthy controls (<i>n</i> = 33)	Heart failure (n = 27)	HeartMate II (n = 23)	HeartMate3 (average) (n = 31)	HeartMate3 (no speed modulation) (n = 31)	HeartMate3 (with speed modulation) (n = 31)
Middle cerebral ar	tery					
TAMAX (cm/s)	58 ± 15	48 ± 13	45 ± 15	48 ± 19	48 ± 19	46 ± 18
V _{mu} (cm/s)	92 ± 22	78 ± 20	55 ± 19*#	$55 \pm 21^{*#}$	$54 \pm 21^{**}$	$55 \pm 22^{*#}$
V_{min}^{max} (cm/s)	39 ± 12	29 ± 11	37 ± 16	39 ± 14	$44 \pm 16^{*}$	32 ± 13
Pulsatility index	0.88 ± 0.18	$1.05 \pm 0.31^{*}$	$0.40 \pm 0.24^{*\#}$	$0.34 \pm 0.14^{*\#}$	$0.21 \pm 0.12^{*\#\$}$	$0.56 \pm 0.24^{*\#}$
Resistance index	0.57 ± 0.07	0.60 ± 0.11	$0.29 \pm 0.14^{*\#}$	$0.16 \pm 0.09^{*\#\$}$	$0.17 \pm 0.10^{*\text{#s}}$	$0.14 \pm 0.09^{*\#\$}$
Central retinal art	ery					
TAMAX (cm/s)	6 ± 1	5 ± 2	6 ± 3	7 ± 3	7 ± 4	6 ± 4
V _{max} (cm/s)	12 ± 3	11 ± 6	$8\pm4^{*}$	$8 \pm 4^{\star}$	$8 \pm 4^{\star}$	$8\pm4^{*}$
V_{min}^{max} (cm/s)	3 ± 1	3 ± 1	5 ± 2	5 ± 3	$6 \pm 3^{*\#}$	4 ± 4
Pulsatility index	1.60 ± 0.45	1.42 ± 0.40	$0.58 \pm 0.26^{*\#}$	$0.49 \pm 0.21^{*\#}$	$0.32 \pm 0.17^{*\#}$	$0.79 \pm 0.35^{*\#}$
Resistance index	0.75 ± 0.09	0.70 ± 0.11	$0.38 \pm 0.15^{*\#}$	$0.22 \pm 0.11^{*\#\$}$	$0.24 \pm 0.12^{*\#\$}$	$0.20 \pm 0.13^{*\#s}$

p < 0.05 compared with healthy controls; p < 0.05 compared with Heart Failure; p < 0.05 compared with HeartMate II.



Figure 1 | (A) Time-averaged maximum flow velocity in the middle cerebral artery of healthy controls and patient groups in response to a 30-s breathhold test. (B) Breakdown of the responses in HM3 patients, comparing beats with and without added pulsatility.

REFERENCE

- Mehra MR, Uriel N, Naka Y, Cleveland JC, Yuzefpolskaya M, Salerno CT, et al. A fully magnetically levitated left ventricular assist device - final report. N Engl J Med 2019;380:1618–27.
 - © 2019 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Publishing services by Atlantis Press International B.V. This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).