



Artery Research

ISSN (Online): 1876-4401 ISSN (Print): 1872-9312 Journal Home Page: <u>https://www.atlantis-press.com/journals/artres</u>

P49: QUANTIFYING WAVE REFLECTION IN CHILDREN: INVASIVE VS NON-INVASIVE CENTRAL AUGMENTATION INDEX AND REFLECTION MAGNITUDE AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR MASS

Jonathan Mynard, Greta Goldsmith, Remi Kowalski, Lucas Eastaugh, Geoff Lane, Gabriella Springall, Joe Smolich, Alberto Avolio, Michael Cheung

To cite this article: Jonathan Mynard, Greta Goldsmith, Remi Kowalski, Lucas Eastaugh, Geoff Lane, Gabriella Springall, Joe Smolich, Alberto Avolio, Michael Cheung (2018) P49: QUANTIFYING WAVE REFLECTION IN CHILDREN: INVASIVE VS NON-INVASIVE CENTRAL AUGMENTATION INDEX AND REFLECTION MAGNITUDE AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR MASS, Artery Research 24:C, 92–92, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2018.10.102

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2018.10.102

Published online: 7 December 2019

and geometric (diameter, ellipticity and curvature) parameters were investigated.

Results: Compared to HV, MFS presented larger aortic diameters only in the proximal AAo (p < 0.001) and DAo (p = 0.028). Increased ellipticity and a more distal location for the peak of aortic curvature were evident, even in the absence of dilation. Through most of the thoracic aorta, IRF was substantially lower in MFS, while SFRR was larger. Interestingly, non-dilated MFS had decreased IRF in the thoracic aorta compared to HV, although SFRR was not increased. Statistically-significant bivariate relations were found between arch IRF and arch ellipticity (R = -0.34) and proximal DAo peak curvature (R = -0.35). Local diameter was negatively correlated with local IRF (R = -0.3) and positively correlated to local SFRR (R = 0.605).

Conclusions: MFS presented altered ellipticity and curvature distribution, which are related to abnormal flow patterns even in the absence of dilation.

P48

COMPARISON BETWEEN INVASIVE AND NON-INVASIVE METHODS: TO EVALUATE AORTIC STIFFNESS BY PULSE WAVE VELOCITY

Andrea Grillo¹, Francesco Moretti², Filippo Scalise³, Andrea Faini⁴, Matteo Rovina⁵, Lucia Salvi², Corrado Baldi⁵, Giovanni Sorropago³, Sandrine C. Millasseau⁶, Renzo Carretta⁵, Alberto P. Avolio⁷, Paolo Salvi⁸, Gianfranco Parati^{9,4}

¹University of Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

²University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

³Policlinico di Monza, Monza, Italy

⁴IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy

⁵University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy

⁶Pulse Wave Consulting, St Leu La Foret, France

⁷Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

⁸Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy

⁹University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

Objective: To investigate if invasively measured aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) is accurately estimated by non-invasive methods purporting to assess it. **Methods:** One-hundred and two patients (30% female, age 65 ± 13 years) planned to undertake coronary angiography were evaluated with the following non-invasive devices: BPLab (Petr Telegin, Russia), Complior Analyse (Alam Medical, France), Mobil-O-Graph (IEM, Germany), pOpmètre (Axelife, France), PulsePen-ETT (Diatecne, Italy) and SphygmoCor (AtCor, Australia). Aortic PWV was measured by aortic catheterization and at the aortic bifurcation (FS-Stiffcath, Flag Vascular, Italy).

Results: The devices evaluating carotid-femoral PWV showed a very strong agreement between each other ($r_2 > 0.65$) and with invasive aortic PWV (mean difference \pm SD with invasive PWV: -0.73 \pm 2.83 m/s (r2 = 0.41) for Complior-Analyse; 0.20 \pm 2.54 m/s (r2 = 0.51) for PulsePen-ETT; -0.04 \pm 2.33 m/s (r2 = 0.61) for PulsePen-ET; -0.61 \pm 2.57 m/s (r2 = 0.49) for SphygmoCor). The finger-toe PWV, evaluated by the pOpmètre, and the PWV measured by BPLab showed a weak relationship with invasive PWV (respectively r 2 = 0.12, 0.05), with carotid-femoral PWV measurements (r 2 = 0.11, 0.010) and with age (r 2=0.10, 0.06). PWV estimated with Mobil-O-Graph through a proprietary algorithm showed a good agreement with invasive PWV (mean difference \pm SD = -1.01 \pm 2.54 m/s; r2 = 0.51) and appeared to be strictly dependent on age-squared and peripheral systolic blood pressure (r2 > 0.99). Conclusions: Methods estimating carotid-femoral PWV should be considered the only non-invasive approach to reliably assess aortic stiffness. Aortic PWV values estimated by Mobil-O-Graph algorithm are also significantly related to invasive PWV, but do not offer any additional information on top of what provided by age and systolic blood pressure levels.

P49

QUANTIFYING WAVE REFLECTION IN CHILDREN: INVASIVE VS NON-INVASIVE CENTRAL AUGMENTATION INDEX AND REFLECTION MAGNITUDE AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR MASS

Jonathan Mynard 1,2,3 , Greta Goldsmith 1 , Remi Kowalski 4,2,5 , Lucas Eastaugh 4,5 , Geoff Lane 5 , Gabriella Springall 4 , Joe Smolich 4,2 , Alberto Avolio 6 , Michael Cheung 4,2,5

¹Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, VIC, Australia

²University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

³Royal Children's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia ⁴Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Parkville, VIC, Australia
⁵Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia
⁶Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Objective: The aims of this study in children were to 1) evaluate two brachial oscillometric devices for estimating central augmentation index (Alx) and reflection magnitude (RM), and 2) test whether Alx or RM are associated with left ventricular mass index (LVMI).

Methods: Intra-aortic (IA) AIx was calculated from high-fidelity pressure measured with a Verrata wire (Philips Volcano) in 60 children (9.2 \pm 4.7 years) with unobstructed aorta undergoing clinically-indicated catheterisation. AIx was also obtained from SphygmoCor XCEL (SC, AtCor) and/or Mobil-o-Graph (MB, IEM) brachial oscillometric devices. RM(IA) was calculated via wave separation using a representative normalised flow waveform obtained from MRI in a separate group of normal adolescents, RM(SC) via the triangulation method, and RM(MB) provided by the proprietary software. LVMI was estimated via echocardiography.

Results: Invasive vs non-invasive Alx and RM are compared in the Table. Alx(IA) correlated weakly with Alx(SC) (R = 0.27, P = 0.04) but not Alx(MB) (P = 0.4). Neither RM(SC) nor RM(MB) correlated with RM(IA) (P = 0.13 and P = 0.96 respectively). RM(IA) was moderately correlated with Alx(IA) (R = 0.69, P < 0.001) and weakly correlated with Alx(SC) (R = 0.36, P = 0.007) but not Alx(MB) (P = 0.7). In a multivariable regression, height (P < 0.001) and RM (IA) (P = 0.04) were independently and positively associated with LVMI (adjusted $R^2 = 0.24$), whereas there were no associations of any Alx or non-invasively estimated RM with LVMI.

Conclusion: Central Alx and RM were poorly estimated by SC and MB in children. Unlike RM(IA), none of the non-invasive indices of wave reflection correlated with LVMI, likely due to inadequate estimation of the central pressure waveform shape in this age group.

Table. Mean (range) of augmentation index and reneetion magnitude	Table: Mean \pm SD (range) of augmentation index and reflection magnitude	
---	---	--

	Invasive	SphygmoCor	Mobil-o-Graph
Augmentation	6.8 ± 8.3	$41.0 \pm 14.5^{*}$	$23.5\pm17.8^{\ast}$
Index	(-17.4, 26.2)	(2.5, 82.0)	(0.9, 58.0)
Reflection	$\textbf{0.34} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	$0.56 \pm 0.11^{*}$	$0.65 \pm 0.13^{*}$
Magnitude	(0.22, 0.61)	(0.32, 0.94)	(0.05, 0.79)

* P < 0.001 compared with invasive</p>

P50

VALIDATION OF ULTRASOUND DETERMINATION OF LOCAL PULSE WAVE VELOCITY IN THE HUMAN ASCENDING AORTA AGAINST MRI MEASUREMENTS

Madalina Negoita 1, Charlotte Manisty 2, Anish Bhuva 2, Alun Hughes 2, Kim Parker 3, Ashraf Khir 1

¹Brunel Institute of Bioengineering, Brunel University London, UK ²Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, UK ³Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, UK

Background: Pulse Wave Velocity (PWV) is a measure of arterial stiffness which predicts cardiovascular risk independently of blood pressure. Local PWV can be measured non-invasively in the ascending aorta of adults by means of Ultrasound (US), using successive recordings of Diameter (D) and the velocity (U) [1].

Aim: To test US measurements of local PWV in the ascending aorta of human adults against MRI measurements of local PWV.

Methods: PWV in the ascending aorta of 8 healthy volunteers (age 22–34 y, 3 females) was measured using a Siemens MAGNETOM Aera 1.5T MRI scanner as per standard protocols with cine and phase contrast imaging (sampling frequency 100 samples/cardiac cycle) and D and U were calculated using validated software [2]. US images were recorded using GE Vivid E95 scanner with a 1.5–4.5 MHz phased array transducer. PLAX was used for diameter recordings and A5CH for velocity. Measurements were recorded for 20 s during a breath-hold. D and U waveforms were extracted from each imaging modality to calculate PWV using the In(D)U-loops technique [3].

Results: Average results are summarised in Table 1. The mean difference in PWV between MRI and US was 2.8 \pm 0.3%.

Conclusions: PWV measured by US shows excellent agreement with MRI in the ascending aorta of adults. Given US availability, this technique offers an easy, affordable and non-invasive means of determining PWV and mechanical properties of the ascending aorta; thus, providing a tool for screening studies.